

BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)  
United States Attorney

DAVID R. CALLAWAY (CABN 121782)  
Chief, Criminal Division

ANDREW F. DAWSON (CABN 264421)  
Assistant United States Attorney  
450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055  
San Francisco, California 94102-3495  
Telephone: (415) 436-7019  
FAX: (415) 436-7234  
Andrew.Dawson@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for the United States

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	CASE NO: CR 15-416 WHA
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	STIPULATION TO EXCLUDE TIME AND
	)	<del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER
v.	)	
	)	
ENZO CESTONI	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	
	)	

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the parties to this action, as stated on the record at a status conference on June 21, 2016, that the time between June 21, 2016 and August 23, 2016, be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B). Excluding time until August 23, 2016, will allow for the effective preparation of counsel, and is appropriate in light of the pending Notice of Appeal. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv); 3161(h)(1)(C).

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED: July 27, 2016

/s/  
ANDREW F. DAWSON  
Assistant United States Attorney

DATED: July 27, 2016

/s/  
LAURIE KLOSTER GRAY  
Assistant United States Attorney

STIPULATION TO EXCLUDE TIME AND ~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER  
CR 15-416 WHA

1 DATED: July 27, 2016

2 /s/  
3 ELLEN LEONIDA  
Assistant Federal Public Defender  
Counsel for Defendant ENZO CESTONI

4 DATED: June 27, 2016

5 /s/  
6 GALIA AMRAM  
Assistant Federal Public Defender  
Counsel for Defendant ENZO CESTONI

As explained on the record at a status conference on June 21, 2016, the Court finds that the exclusion of the period from June 21, 2016 to August 23, 2016 from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161, is warranted; that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in the prompt disposition of this criminal case; and that the failure to grant the requested exclusion of time would deny counsel for the defendant and for the government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation and of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The Court further notes that exclusion of time is appropriate in light of the pending Notice of Appeal. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1)(C).

DATED: July 27, 2016.

STIPULATION TO EXCLUDE TIME AND ~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER  
CR 15-416 WHA